

10/026, 961  
2815

# FAX TRANSMISSION

DATE: July 18, 2003  
CLIENT NO.: 8733.524.00-US  
MESSAGE TO: Young Ho Kim  
COMPANY: Y.H. Kim International Patent and Law Office  
FAX NUMBER: 011 82 2 555 9736  
PHONE: 011 82 2 555 5654  
FROM: Rebecca Goldman Rudich  
PHONE: (202) 496-7463

RECEIVED  
AUG 13 2003  
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800

PAGES (Including Cover Sheet): 11 HARD COPY TO FOLLOW:  YES  NO

MESSAGE:

If your receipt of this transmission is in error, please notify this firm immediately by collect call to sender at (202) 496-7463 and send the original transmission to us by return mail at the address below.

This transmission is intended for the sole use of the individual and entity to whom it is addressed, and may contain information that is privileged, confidential and exempt from disclosure under applicable law. You are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or duplication of this transmission by someone other than the intended addressee or its designated agent is strictly prohibited.

MCKENNA LONG & ALDRIDGE LLP  
1900 K Street, N.W., Washington, DC 20006  
Telephone: (202) 496-7500 Facsimile: (202) 496-7756

Atlanta

San Diego

Denver

San Francisco

Los Angeles

Washington, DC

Philadelphia

Brussels

# McKenna Long & Aldridge, LLP

Attorneys at Law

1900 K Street, NW • Washington, DC 20006  
 202.496.7500 • Fax: 202.496.7756  
[www.mckennalong.com](http://www.mckennalong.com)

Rebecca Goldman Rudich  
 (202) 496-7463

EMAIL ADDRESS  
 RRUDICH@MCKENNALONG.COM

July 18, 2003

**VIA FACSIMILE AND AIRMAIL**

Mr. Young Ho Kim  
 Y.H. Kim International Patent & Law Office  
 Kamryoung Building, 3rd Floor  
 153-29, Samsung-dong, Kangnam-ku  
 Seoul 135-090  
 REPUBLIC OF KOREA

**PRIVILEGED AND CONFIDENTIAL:  
ATTORNEY-CLIENT COMMUNICATION**

Re: U.S. Patent Application No.: 10/026,961  
 Title: LIQUID CRYSTAL DISPLAY AND FABRICATING METHOD THEREOF  
 Filed: December 27, 2001  
 Inventor: Young-Hun Ha, et al.  
 Your Reference: OP1155-LDC-US  
 LG Reference: FO1-121US001  
 Our Reference: 8733.524.00-US  
Attorney: Song K. Jung and Valerie Hayes

Dear Mr. Kim:

We have now reviewed the Office Action, dated May 8, 2003, in the application above. We enclose herewith a proposed Response to this Office Action.

In the Office Action, the Examiner objected to the Drawings because the figures do not allegedly show each of the features recited in claims 3 and 6. With regard to the protective layer recited in claim 3, we propose directing the Examiner's attention to FIG. 8, element 62; however, with respect to the features of claim 6, please indicate which figure best depicts these features and if necessary, provide a revised figure, that adds no new matter, to be filed with the Response.

Claims 1 and 3-9 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite. In the proposed response, we amended the claims to more distinctly claim the subject matter of the invention and address the rejection of claims 1, 3, 5, and 7-9. With regard to claim 6, we would appreciate your comments on the Examiner's statement on page 4 of the Office Action regarding the limitation "a pixel electrode extended into an upper edge."

Mr. Chang Yong Kim

July 18, 2003

Page 2

**PRIVILEGED AND CONFIDENTIAL:  
ATTORNEY-CLIENT COMMUNICATION**


Claims 1-5 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being allegedly anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 5,926,235, issued to Han et al. ("Han"). Claims 6-9 and 15-19 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by the Related Art of FIGS. 1, 2, 4, and 5. In the proposed Response we argue that Han and the Related Art fail to teach each of the features recited in the claims of the present application.

We welcome your technical comments as to further distinctions between the claims and the applied references.

Additionally, if it is necessary to amend the figures to more clearly illustrate the subject matter of claim 6, then please send a clean version of the amended figure as soon as possible. The Patent Office now requires a clean drawing sheet be filed with the response.

Please note that the due date for filing a response to the Office Action is August 8, 2003, which may be extended to November 8, 2003, with the payment of appropriate extension fees.

Very truly yours,



Rebecca Goldman Rudich

RGR/VPH/eem

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

Appl. No.	:	10/026,961	Confirmation No.: 7359
Applicant	:	Young-Hun Ha et al.	
Filed	:	December 27, 2001	
TC/A.U.	:	2871	
Examiner	:	Matthew C. Landau	
Docket No.	:	8733.524.00	
Customer No.	:	50-0911	

**Mail Stop Non-Fee  
Amendment  
Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450**

**DRAFT AMENDMENT**

Sir:

In response to the Office Action of May 8, 2003, (Paper No. 10), please amend the above identified U.S. patent application as follows:

**Amendments to the Claims** are reflected in the listing of claims which begins on page 2 of this paper. This listing of claims will replace all prior versions of claims in the application.

**Remarks begin on page 7 of this paper.**